

Python with Keras, Regularization

Santiago Romero-Brufau
Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
Spring 2

## Recipe of the day

https://youtu.be/JceGMNG7rpU

Authentic Tortilla de patatas (Spanish Omelette)




"It's amazing what you can accomplish when you do not care who gets the credit."

Harry S. Truman

# MLPs in Python/Keras

#### MNIST Data Example

- The <u>MNIST data set</u> includes handwritten digits with corresponding labels
- Training set: 60,000 images of handwritten digits and corresponding labels
  - Each digit is represented as a 28 x 28 matrix of grayscale values 0 - 255
  - The entire training set is stored in a
     3D tensor of shape (60000, 28, 28)
  - The corresponding image values are stored as a 1D tensor of values 0 - 9
- Testing set: 10,000 images with the same set up as the training set





#### MNIST Data Example

#### Data wrangling

- We'll get into RGB images later, but for grayscale images, we need to first transform the matrix of values into a vector of values, and then normalize them to be between 0 and 1. It is not strictly necessary to normalize your inputs, but smaller numbers help speed up training and avoid getting stuck in local minima. This also ensures the gradients don't "explode" or "vanish"
  - Reshape each image from a 28 x 28 matrix of grayscale values 0 255 to a vector of length 28\*28 = 784 of values 0 1 (divide each by 255)
- We now have 10 classes (categories; the digits 0-9)
  - We need to have multiclass labels that tell the network which digit the example is
  - Reshape each corresponding image label to a vector of length 10 of values 0 or 1
  - Example: the digit 3 would be represented as [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
  - You can think of this as "dummy coding" the labels

## Activation and Loss Function Choices

| Task                                    | Last-layer activation | Loss function                   |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Binary classification                   | sigmoid               | Binary cross-entropy            |
| Multiclass, single-label classification | softmax               | Categorical cross-entropy       |
| Multiclass, multilabel classification   | sigmoid               | Binary cross-entropy            |
| Regression to arbitrary values          | None                  | Mean square error (MSE)         |
| Regression to values between 0 and 1    | sigmoid               | MSE or binary cross-<br>entropy |

#### Softmax function

softmax
$$(z)_i = \frac{\exp(z_i)}{\sum_j \exp(z_j)}$$

- We could use argmax(), which would select the label with the highest "score", assign it a 1, and everything else would be a zero.
- Problem: the network wouldn't get "partial credit"
- Softmax gives the network "partial credit" by distributing it's guess into the different options
- It doesn't distribute it evenly, it distributes it exponentially



#### Softmax function

$$\operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{z})_i = \frac{\exp(z_i)}{\sum_j \exp(z_j)}$$

- Softmax units are used as outputs when predicting a discrete variable y with j possible values
- $\odot$  In this setting, which can be seen as a generalization of the Bernoulli distribution, we need to produce a vector  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  with  $\hat{y}_i = P(y=i|x)$
- $\odot$  We require that each  $\hat{y}_i$  lie in the [0, 1] interval and that the entire vector sums to 1
- ullet We first compute  $z=w^Tx+b$  as usual
- $\odot$  Here,  $z_i = log[ ilde{P}(y=i|x)]$  represents an unnormalized log probability for class i
- The softmax function then exponentiates and normalizes z to obtain  $\mathbf{\hat{Y}}$

### Categorical cross-entropy

In this case we want to maximize

$$log[P(y=i;z)] = log[\operatorname{softmax}(z)_i] = z_i - log\sum_i exp(z_j)$$

 The first term shows that the input always has a direct contribution to the loss function

O Because  $\log \sum_j exp(z_j) \approx max_j z_j$ , the negative log-likelihood loss function always strongly penalizes the most active incorrect prediction


#### MNIST Data Example

#### **Network Architecture**

- Let's start with 2 layers:
  - Hidden layer will have 512 hidden units and the relu activation function
  - Output layer with 10 units (one for each possible digit) and the **softmax activation function** (this produces a vector of length 10, where each element is a probability between 0 and 1 of the image being classified as that digit)
  - Example: [0, 0.3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] the highest probability corresponds to a label of 7, so the network would classify this image as a 7
  - rmsprop optimization algorithm
     categorical\_crossentropy loss function
     accuracy performance measure (the proportion of times the correct class is chosen)

### MNIST Data Example

#### Colab link



#### IMDb Data Example



The <u>IMDb data set</u> is a set of movie reviews that have been labeled as either positive or negative, based on the text content of the reviews

- Training set: 25,000 either positive or negative movie reviews that have each been turned into a vector of integers
  - We'll see how to actually do this later in the course
  - Each review can be of any length
  - Only the top 10,000 most frequently occurring words are kept i.e. rare words are discarded
  - Each review includes a label: 0 = negative review and 1 = positive review
- Testing set: 25,000 either positive or negative movie reviews, similar to the training set

#### IMDb Data Example

#### **Data Wrangling**

- Each review is of a varying length and is a list of integers we need to turn this into a tensor with a common length for each review
- Create a 2D tensor of shape 25,000 x 10,00025,000 reviews and 10,000 possible words
- Use the **vectorize\_sequences** function to turn a movie review list of integers into a vector of length 10,000 with 1s for each word that appears in the review and 0s for words that do not
- The labels are already 0s and 1s, so the only thing we need to do is make them float numbers

## Activation and Loss Function Choices

| Task                                    | Last-layer activation | Loss function                   |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Binary classification                   | sigmoid               | Binary cross-entropy            |
| Multiclass, single-label classification | softmax               | Categorical cross-entropy       |
| Multiclass, multilabel classification   | sigmoid               | Binary cross-entropy            |
| Regression to arbitrary values          | None                  | Mean square error (MSE)         |
| Regression to values between 0 and 1    | sigmoid               | MSE or binary cross-<br>entropy |

#### IMDb Data Example

#### **Network Architecture**

- 3 layers
  - 2 hidden layers and 1 output layer
  - Hidden layers have 16 hidden units each and a relu activation function
  - Output layer has 1 unit (the probability a review is positive)
- Sigmoid activation function
- rmsprop optimization algorithm
- binary\_crossentropy loss function
- accuracy performance measure (proportion of times the correct class is chosen)

## **IMDb** Data Example

## Colab link





## Regularization

#### Regularization

- One of the biggest problems with neural networks is overfitting.
- Regularization schemes combat overfitting in a variety of different ways

A perceptron represents the following optimization problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{W} l(y, f(X))$$

#### Regularization

One way to regularize is to introduce penalties and change

$$\operatorname{argmin}_W l(y, f(X))$$

to

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{W} l(y, f(X)) + \lambda R(W)$$

where R(W) is often the L1 or L2 norm of W. These are the well-known ridge and LASSO penalties, and referred to as **weight decay** by the neural net community.

## L2 Regularization

We can limit the size of the L2 norm of the weight vector:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{W} l(y, f(X)) + \lambda \|W\|_{2}$$


where


$$||W||_2 = \sum_{j=1}^p w_j^2$$

We can do the same for the L1 norm. What do the penalties do?

## Shrinkage


The L1 and L2 penalties shrink the weights to or towards 0.






## Stochastic Regularization

- Why is this a good idea?
- One of the most popular ways to do this is **dropout**
- Given a hidden layer, we are going to set each element of the hidden layer to 0 with probability p each SGD update.



(a) Standard Neural Net



(b) After applying dropout.

## Stochastic Regularization

- One way to think of this is the network is trained by bagged versions of the network.
- Bagging reduces variance.
- Others have argued this is an approximate Bayesian model

#### Dropout as a Bayesian Approximation: Representing Model Uncertainty in Deep Learning

Yarin Gal Zoubin Ghahramani University of Cambridge YG279@CAM.AC.UK ZG201@CAM.AC.UK

#### Abstract

Deep learning tools have gained tremendous attention in applied machine learning. However such tools for regression and classification do not capture model uncertainty. In comparison, Bayesian models offer a mathematically grounded framework to reason about model uncertainty, but usually come with a prohibitive computational cost. In this paper we develop a new theoretical framework casting dropout training in deep neural networks (NNs) as approximate Bayesian inference in deep Gaussian processes. A direct result of this theory gives us tools to model uncertainty with dropout NNs extracting information from existing models that has been thrown away so far. This mitigates the problem of representing uncertainty in deep

With the recent shift in many of these fields towards the use of Bayesian uncertainty (Herzog & Ostwald, 2013; Trafimow & Marks, 2015; Nuzzo, 2014), new needs arise from deep learning tools.

Standard deep learning tools for regression and classification do not capture model uncertainty. In classification,
predictive probabilities obtained at the end of the pipeline
(the softmax output) are often erroneously interpreted as
model confidence. A model can be uncertain in its predictions even with a high softmax output (fig. 1). Passing a
point estimate of a function (solid line 1a) through a softmax (solid line 1b) results in extrapolations with unjustified
high confidence for points far from the training data. x\* for
example would be classified as class I with probability 1.
However, passing the distribution (shaded area 1a) through
a softmax (shaded area 1b) better reflects classification uncertainty far from the training data.

[stat.ML] 4 Oct 201

#### Some intuition about stochastic regularization

Imagine you were training several NN (on different subsets of the data and with different weight initializations), and then taking the average. We would force the resulting ensemble of networks to find patterns that are fairly consistent.

### Stochastic Regularization

Many have argued that SGD itself provides regularization

Journal of Machine Learning Research 18 (2017) 1-35

Submitted 4/17; Revised 10/17; Published 12/17

#### Stochastic Gradient Descent as Approximate Bayesian Inference

SelectorGadget Has access to this site

#### Stephan Mandt

Data Science Institute
Department of Computer Science
Columbia University
New York, NY 10025, USA

#### Matthew D. Hoffman

Adobe Research Adobe Systems Incorporated 601 Townsend Street San Francisco, CA 94103, USA

#### David M. Blei

Department of Statistics Department of Computer Science Columbia University New York, NY 10025, USA STEPHAN.MANDT@GMAIL.COM

MATHOFFM@ADOBE.COM

DAVID.BLEI@COLUMBIA.EDU

Editor: Manfred Opper

#### Abstract

Stochastic Gradient Descent with a constant learning rate (constant SGD) simulates a Markov chain with a stationary distribution. With this perspective, we derive several new results. (1) We show that constant SGD can be used as an approximate Bayesian posterior inference algorithm. Specifically, we show how to adjust the tuning parameters of constant SGD to best match the stationary distribution to a posterior, minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence between these two distri-

## Initialization Regularization

- The weights in a neural network are given random values initially.
- There is an entire literature on the best way to do this initialization
  - Normal
  - Truncated Normal
  - Uniform
  - Orthogonal
  - Scaled by number of connections
  - Etc.
- Try to "bias" the model into initial configurations that are easier to train

#### Initialization Regularization

A popular way is to do transfer learning

Train the model on an auxiliary task where lots of data is available


Use final weight values from previous task as initial values and "fine tune" on primary task



#### IMDb Example

We saw overfitting in the IMDb example:





#### IMDb Exa


#### We saw overfitti



Senior data scientist hearing about how someone's deep learning model has achieved 98% accuracy with training data.



11:34 PM · Jan 20, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone



#### How do we make this model better?

#### Regularization

- 1. Reduce network size
- 2. Weight regularization
- 3. Dropout

Back to the IMDb colab notebook




## Regularization: reducing network size

When we are battling overfitting, one option is to simplify the model. Let's compare the performance we get from a simpler model. Here we have simplified the model by reducing the number of hidden units in each hidden layer.

```
1 # Original model
 2 model = keras.Sequential([
    layers.Dense(16, activation='relu'),
    layers.Dense(16, activation='relu'),
    layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')
 6])
 8 # Reduced model
 9 model = keras.Sequential([
    layers.Dense(4, activation='relu'),
    layers.Dense(4, activation='relu'),
    layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')
13])
```

#### Regularization: reducing network size




The smaller network performs better than the original model - it starts to overfit at epoch 10 rather than epoch 6. These values are when the validation loss starts to increase.

## Regularization: weight regularization

```
1 # L2 model
                                                                  The only change is adding
 2 12 model = keras.Sequential([
                                                                  an argument inside of
    # Layer 1 (Hidden layer)
                                                                  each of the hidden layers
     layers.Dense(16, activation='relu',
 5
                       kernel regularizer = keras.regularizers.12(0.001)), (=
    # Layer 2 (Hidden layer)
     layers.Dense(16, activation='relu',
                       kernel regularizer = keras.regularizers.12(0.001)), \( \)
 8
     # Layer 3 (Output layer)
     layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')
11 ])
```

#### Regularization: weight regularization




The L2-regularized model is much more resistant to overfitting - the validation loss starts to increase at a much slower rate

### Regularization: adding dropout

```
1 # Dropout model
 2 dmodel = keras.Sequential([
    # Layer 1 (Hidden layer)
    layers.Dense(16, activation='relu'),
    # Dropout layer
    layers.Dropout(0.5),
    # Layer 2 (Hidden layer)
    layers.Dense(16, activation='relu'),
    # Dropout layer
    layers.Dropout(0.5),
    # Layer 3 (Output layer)
    layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')
13])
```

The 0.5 indicates a 50% probability of dropping out a unit. Typically, 20% is used in practice but you can try different values and see what performs best.

#### Regularization: adding dropout



The dropout model is slightly better than the original model (in terms of overfitting) but does not control for overfitting as well as the L2 network